



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/170,656	10/14/1998	SURESH JEYACHANDRAN	35.C13009	7028
5514	7590 03/27/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		LEE, TOMMY D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	09/170,656	JEYACHANDRAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Thomas D. Lee	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the contract of the co	ation. A proper reply to a				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension				
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,,					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21, 23, 24, 27-30, 32, 33 and 36-	<u>-38</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:		Sand				
		Thomas D. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2624				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Designation of an identification name and process, and setting up a command to be executed, "by a user" as now recited in base claims 1, 10, 19, 20, 29 and 38, raises new issues.